

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,302	01/30/2001	Anand Prahlad	044463.0040	1024	
29858	7590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER		
BROWN, RAYSMAN, MILLSTEIN, FELDER & STEINER LLP			NAMAZI, MEHDI		
900 THIRD NEW YORK	AVENUE L, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>-,</b>		2188	73	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

				<b>/</b> (		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/774,302	PRAHLAD ET AL.	/		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mehdi Namazi	2188			
- 7 Period for R	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –			
A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY. ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Its of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It id for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 De	ecember 2003.				
2a)⊠ Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) <u></u> Sir	nce this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	3		
clo	osed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>12-24</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	•			
-	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5)□ Cl	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Cl	Claim(s) 12-24 is/are rejected.					
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	Papers					
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
•	plicant may not request that any objection to the					
·	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* ' '		d).		
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).			
	All b) Some * c) None of:	p				
1.[	<b>–</b>	s have been received.				
2.[	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3.[	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)		<b></b>				
	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
_	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)			
	o(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2188

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This office action is in response to amendment filed December 24, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-11 have been canceled. New claims 12-24 have been presented.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: as per claim
 line 11, "provides allows" should be change to –allows--. Appropriate
 correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12, 16, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,592), and further in view of Microsoft Excel 2000.

As per claims 12, 16, and 21, Aoyama teaches a method of displaying a previous state of data in an application program (fig. 11, shows the different version of each data objects and the date it was created), the method

Art Unit: 2188

Ľ

comprising: storing one or more versions of a data store containing a plurality of data objects created by an application program over time (fig. 11, shows the different version of each data objects and the date it was created, wherein it is inherent to be created by an application program); indexing each version of the data store according to a date(fig. 6); and displaying, in the application program, a view of an indication of a data object contained in a first version of the data store whose date corresponds to a user specified date (fig. 11 shows the indication of for example first version of chapter 1 and specifies the date, and the application program is inherent).

As per claims 12, 16, and 21, Aoyama teaches the claimed invention, but fails to teach wherein the view of the indication in the application program is substantially similar to the view of the indication in the application program at the date of the first version of the data store; and wherein the indication provides allows a user to retrieve at least a portion of the data object.

Microsoft teaches using Microsoft Excel 2000 files with earlier version of Excel, wherein you can change the default file format that Excel 2000 uses when saving workbook (page 1, paragraph 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the work of Aoyama because Microsoft Excel 2000 teaches using earlier version of Excel with requested data when they were saved in order to create the same window as it was used at the time for faster process.

As per claim 20, Aoyama teaches a plurality of computing devices communicatively coupled to the processor and the plurality of storage media (fig.

Art Unit: 2188

١.

19); a retrieval module for retrieving indication of data objects from the plurality of storage media (fig. 19, element 105, col. 1, lines 51-55, which works as retrieval module); a storage and backup map that maps to the plurality of computing devices (fig. 19, element 103b)); and a data index stored on at least one of the plurality of computing devices that indicates to the retrieval module a particular location of the indication of the data object that is to be retrieved by retrieval module(fig. 9).

7. Claims 13, 17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,592), and in view of Microsoft Excel 2000 help, and further in view of Kikinis et al (Patent No. 6,553,410).

As per claims 13, 17, and 22 Aoyama and Microsoft teach the claimed invention, but fails to teach wherein storing one or more version of a data store containing a plurality of data objects comprises storing one or more version of a data store containing a plurality of electronic mail messages.

Kikinis teaches storing an E-mail in different version such as text copy, or HTML format for WEB mail (fig. 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the work of Aoyama and Microsoft because Kikinis teaches storing E-mail (document) in different version such as text copy, or HTML format in order to be able to use the document in different capacities.

Art Unit: 2188

8. Claims 14, 18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,592), and in view of Microsoft Excel 2000 help, and further in view of Carpenter et al. (5,544,345).

As per claims 14, 18, and 23, Aoyama, and Microsoft teach the claimed invention, but fails to teach wherein storing one or more versions of a data store comprises storing different version of the data store on different subsets of a storage media to provide a separate location for each version during the time that it is stored.

Carpenter teaches plurality of storage system with different subsets (fig. 1), wherein copies or new versions of the data will be store in any combination of SES-cache storage or local cache storage (col. 18, lines 62-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the work of Aoyama and Microsoft because Carpenter teaches plurality of storage system with different subsets, wherein copies or new versions of the data in any combination of SES-cache storage or local cache storage in order to avoid slow accessing the storage system.

9. Claims 15, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al., Microsoft Excel 2000 help, and in view of Carpenter et al. (5,544,345), and further in view of Honarvar et al. (U.S. Patent 6,546,545).

As per claims 15, 19, and 24 Aoyama and Microsoft Excel, and Carpenter teach the claimed invention, but fails to teach migrating a version of the data store from a first subset of a storage media to a second subset of a storage

Art Unit: 2188

media according to a storage criteria selected from one of the group consisting of a duration of time the version of the data store has been stored, a type of data contained in the version of the data store, and the identity of a user associated with the data store.

Honarvar teaches a versioning in a rules based decision management system, wherein archiving (migrating) data version is depend on how the data version is going to be used as production, simulation, both or neither (col. 17, lines 24-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the work of Aoyama and Microsoft Excel, and Carpenter, because Honarvar teaches a versioning in a rules based decision management system, wherein archiving (migrating) data version is depend on how the data version is going to be used as production, simulation, both or neither in order to receive specific type of data based on the need of user.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehdi Namazi whose telephone number is 703-306-2758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2188

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

March 18, 2004

Mano PADMANARHAN

SUPERVBORY PATIENT ELAMINER

TC 2100